SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, AUGUST 26, 2002 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S017657

ANDREWS (JESSE JAMES) ON H.C. Opinion filed

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied and the order to show cause is discharged.

Opinion by: Brown, J.
--- joined by Baxter, J., Werdegar, J., Chin, J.,
Morrison, J*.
Dissenting Opinion by: Kennard, A.C.J.
--- joined by Moreno, J.

*Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6, of the California Constitution.

S093628

B143088 Second Appellate District, Division Three CITY OF LOS ANGELES v. S.C. (BRANDON) Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We direct the Court of Appeal to issue a peremptory writ of mandate ordering the trial court not to disclose to the defense information regarding the 1990 citizen complaint against Officer C.

Majority Opinion by: Kennard, J. --- joined by George, CJ., Baxter, J., Werdegar, J., Chin, J. Concurring Opinion by Brown, J. Dissenting Opinion by Moreno, J.

S096127

B135896 Second Appellate District, Division Seven

ZUCKERMAN v. BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

to the extent that it held regulation 317.5 invalid. The Court of Appeal is directed to affirm the judgment of the trial court, which

denied plaintiff Zuckerman's petition for administrative mandamus.

Opinion by: Kennard, J

--- joined by: George, C.J., Baxter, J.,

Moreno, J.

Concurring Opinion by: Werdegar, J

--- joined by: Chin, J

Concurring Opinion by: Brown, J.

S017657

ANDREWS (JESSE) ON H.C. Petition denied

The petition for habeas corpus, filed September 27, 1990, is denied. All claims are denied on the merits. (See *Harris v. Reed* (1989) 489 U.S. 255, 264, fn. 10.)

The following claims are also barred under In re Waltreus (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218 because they were raised and rejected on appeal: Claims VII (the trial court's comments on the cost of trial); VIII (admission of tape recording of accomplice Sanders's confession); IX (deficiencies in instructions on accomplice corroboration); X (deficiencies in instruction on distrusting accomplice testimony); XI (use of petitioner's Alabama murder conviction, in which he was tried as an adult); XII, XIII (duplicative, "inflated" special circumstance allegations, combined with instructions on aggravating circumstances); XV (instruction that the jury "shall" impose the death sentence if aggravating circumstances outweigh those in mitigation).

To the extent the following claims are based on grounds that could have been but were not raised on appeal, they are barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756: Claims VII, IX, X, XII.

PEOPLE v. RUNDLE (DAVID ALLEN)
Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and in light of the proceedings for preparing the record on appeal presently pending in the Superior

S012943

Court of Placer County, Senior Deputy State Public Defender Denise Anton's request for an extension of time in which to file appellant's opening brief is granted to 10-25-2002.

S031423

PEOPLE v. STURM (GREGORY A.) Extension of time granted

to 10-25-2002 to file appellant's reply brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling 180 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S090162

CUDJO (ARMENIA LEVI) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to 10-3-2002 to file reply to informal response. After that date, only one further extension totaling 32 additional days will be granted. Extension granted based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Griffis's representation that she anticipates filing the reply by 11-2-2002.

S098266 (2 Orders) CADENCE DESIGN SYSTEMS v. AVANT! Order filed

The request of appellant to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of appellant at oral argument is granted.

Order filed

The request of appellant to allocate to amicus curiae Oracle Corp. et al 10 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.